Regular Meeting, Wednesday, June 8, 2022, 7:00 p.m. Government Center, Verona, VA.

PRESENT: Gerald Garber, Chairman
Butch Wells, Vice-Chair
Pam Carter
Michael Shull
Scott Seaton
Steve Morelli
Jeffrey Slaven
Jennifer M. Whetzel, Deputy County Administrator
John Wilkinson, Director of Community Development
James Benkahla, County Attorney
Angie Michael, Executive Assistant

ABSENT: Timothy Fitzgerald, County Administrator

VIRGINIA: At a regular meeting of the Augusta County Board of Supervisors held on Wednesday, June 8, 2022, at 7:00 p.m., at the Government Center, Verona, Virginia, and in the 246th year of the Commonwealth....

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Chairman Garber welcomed those present at the meeting.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Mr. Morelli led the Pledge of Allegiance.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Ms. Carter delivered the invocation.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

VALLEY COMMUNITY SERVICES BOARD
The Board heard a presentation from Kimberly McClanahan.

Kimberly McClanahan, Executive Director of VCSB, was the presenter. She thanked the Board for the funding received and said that VCSB needed three additional members from Augusta County to be fully represented. Kimberly McClanahan gave an overview of the VCSB for the last year. In 2021, it served 2,316 citizens from Augusta County. The money taken from the County are used to fund the Medical Services programs, which normally lose more money but have lost less money in the recent year because of COVID-19. In May 2022, VCSB served 232 clients from Augusta County, a majority of clients were ages 3-18. Anxiety disorders were the most common served. The future goals are to have a member visit a County meeting and have a newsletter. VCSB's surplus was larger than originally estimated and the future surplus estimate calculated was $1.7 million, which was less than the current surplus because of cost of living adjustments for employees. VCSB had $9 million in the bank and $6 million was required for operations. She thought COVID-19 helped VCSB financially.

June 8, 2022, at 7:00 p.m.

VALLEY COMMUNITY SERVICES BOARD (CONT'D)
Mr. Morelli asked Kimberly McClanahan what is the average wait time for adolescents to be seen.

Ms. McClanahan stated that they should be seen the same day they show up to VCSB, but there are some wait times because of lack of employees for follow up treatments.

Dr. Seaton complemented VCSB for the improvement in the organization and appreciated the Office Based Addiction Treatment program.

Mr. Wells asked about new program staffing issues.

Ms. McClanahan stated that staffing was very difficult because of the nation-wide issue. They were trying to get recruiting and retention rates higher.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

COURTHOUSE REFERENDUM RESOLUTION
The Board considered the following resolution.

James Benkahla, County Attorney, presented the resolution, which would petition the circuit court for the issuance of a writ of election for the removal of the courthouse.

Chairman Garber emphasized that the petition was one step in a multi-step process that would be carried out as the law dictates.

Mr. Shull, seconded by Dr. Seaton, moved that the Board adopt the resolution.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A PETITION TO THE AUGUSTA COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A WRIT OF ELECTION FOR REMOVAL OF THE AUGUSTA COUNTY COURTHOUSE

WHEREAS, the current Augusta County Courthouse, constructed in 1901 and added onto in 1939 and located at the corner of East Johnson and South Augusta Streets in the City of Staunton, is deemed antiquated and inadequate to meet the needs of the people of Augusta County; and

WHEREAS, an Order to Show Cause was entered by the Augusta County Circuit Court on September 13, 2021 commanding that the Board of Supervisors cause the court facilities to be made secure, or put in good repair, or render otherwise safe as the case may be, and to cause the necessary work to be done; and

WHEREAS, the only remaining option for rectifying the aforementioned deficiencies is the construction of a new facility at a new location; and

WHEREAS, the Augusta County Circuit Court has decreed that removal of the Circuit Court to Verona would also necessitate removal of the General District Court and Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court to Verona also; and

WHEREAS, new legislation was passed by the Virginia General Assembly and signed by Governor Glenn Younkin on May 27, 2022, authorizing Augusta County to hold a referendum on November 8, 2022 on the question of relocation of the Augusta County Circuit Courthouse, *see* 2022 Va. Acts ch. 806; and

WHEREAS, Section 15.2-1644 of the Code of Virginia (1950), as amended, provides that the Board of Supervisors may, by resolution, request the Circuit Court of Augusta County, to issue a writ of election for the holding of an election in the County on the question of removal of the Courthouse to a place specified in the resolution.

COURTHOUSE REFERENDUM RESOLUTION (CONT'D)

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF AUGUSTA COUNTY, VIRGINIA that,

1. The Board hereby approves a request to the Court for an election in the County on the question of removal of the Courthouse
2. The County Attorney is hereby directed to institute the necessary proceedings in order to carry out the intent and direction of the Board of Supervisors, as set forth herein.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Wells, Carter, Shull, Slaven, Morelli And Seaton
Nays: None

Motion carried.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

WAIVERS --NONE

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

CONSENT AGENDA

Mr. Wells moved, seconded by Dr. Seaton, that the Board approve the consent agenda as follows:

MINUTES

Consider minutes from the following meetings:

- Budget Work Session, Tuesday, March 29, 2022
- Regular Meeting, Wednesday, April 13, 2022.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Wells, Carter, Shull, Slaven, Morelli And Seaton
Nays: None

Motion carried.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

(END OF CONSENT AGENDA)

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

MATTERS TO BE PRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC

Robert Spiegle, 632 Salem Church Rd, Mount Sidney, spoke on the issue of body cameras. He showed the Board a petition that had 150 signatures. He asked that the board fully staff the sheriff's office, fund the EOC, and get a decent radio system before body cameras.

June 8, 2022, at 7:00 p.m.

MATTERS TO BE PRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC (CON'TD)
Cortez Nathan, 30 South Windsong Ct, spoke on the issue of body cameras. Three days ago, he made a GoFundMe for body cameras that raised $1000. He also presented a flyer for body cameras and ordered the Board members to donate to the cause to show they are for body cameras. He wished to give the funds already raised for body cameras to the Board.

Jennifer Whetzel, Deputy County Administrator, noted depositS should go to the County Treasurer and did not accept funds.

Cortez Nathan again said that he wanted each Board member to donate more than $200 for the GoFundMe for body cameras.

David Zimmerman, 120 Lee Highway, Verona, wanted to honor D-Day and asked about his ordinance request.

Ms. Carter said it was on the list of things to look into and be discussed.

David Zimmerman thanked the Board. He talked about his life and continued loyalty to Augusta County. He reiterated his dislike of the rowdy protestors. David Zimmerman then talked about taxes and accused Ms. Cater of being too joyful at the back taxes being collected from old people. He said that Nexus owed over $363,000 in taxes and do not have a business license and asked the Board to make Nexus pay their back taxes. He addressed Dr. Seaton saying that everyone wants body cameras but that the radio system is more important and should be funded first. He also reprimanded Ms. Carter for not apologizing to the sheriff in public and Dr. Seaton for doing whatever the protestors want. He claimed Dr. Seaton told him that he just wanted to appease them and make them happy. David Zimmerman asked Dr. Seaton and Ms. Carter why they voted against the budget that would support the sheriff's office. He said that the protestors were just being funded by Donovan and did not like Augusta. He asked Dr. Seaton and Ms. Carter why they were going along with Donovan even though they are intelligent.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

MATTERS TO BE PRESENTED BY THE BOARD
Mr. Shull moved, seconded by Dr. Seaton, that the Board add to the Board of Supervisors Rules of Order to not allow a vote on an item brought up by a Board member that night unless it was an emergency.

Chairman Garber commented that this was the unwritten rule for Board operations for a long time.

Dr. Seaton wished to add an amendment to the motion, which would not allow calling the question till 15 minutes of debate had passed.

Chairman Garber requested Dr. Seaton make that a different motion.

Dr. Seaton said that since the motion is about rules then the call of the question is an issue that should be changed within the rules.

Mr. Shull said that Dr. Seaton's amendment was irrelevant to his original motion and nullifies the voting on his original motion.

Dr. Seaton thought the stifling of debate is bad and 15 minutes should be given to issues. He brought up instances where he believed calling for the question was used improperly.

MATTERS TO BE PRESENTED BY THE BOARD (CONT'D)
Ms. Wells asked Dr. Seaton if he wanted to rephrase his motion to be "up to 15 minutes" because the current debate had lasted 8 minutes.

Dr. Seaton said no because the issue was with calling for the question not the time of debate.

Mr. Wells still asked if the exact time of 15 minutes should be changed.

Dr. Seaton said no but that the rule did not apply to the Chairman if there is no more debate before 15 minutes have passed. He wanted to support the minority vote. He added that David Zimmerman did not understand why he voted against the budget because of calling for the question.

Chairman Garber said that he did not disagree with Dr. Seaton but again asked to make his motion separate from the one Mr. Shull made.

Dr. Seaton said the two are linked because both deal with transparency.

Ms. Carter seconded Dr. Seaton's amendment.

Ms. Carter said the calling for the question had been discussed at the beginning of the year and was agreed to not be used but that if it was official then people would follow it. She also had a concern with urgent items not being voted on that might not be characterized as emergencies.

Mr. Shull said most items brought up that night would not be hindered by a two-week waiting period and if it was then that would be categorized as an emergency.

Ms. Carter gave the example of Craigsville needing dirt for their baseball field that would not be an emergency but would need to be decided on sooner rather than later.

Mr. Shull said he did not see how that was an emergency.

Ms. Carter replied she gave her reasoning behind the issue already.

Dr. Seaton said that the Robert's Rules of Order had a mechanism to postpone a motion and also put a motion on the agenda. He thinks Mr. Shull's motion is within the Robert's Rules of Order.

Chairman Garber asked what Dr. Seaton's amendment would mean exactly.

Dr. Seaton stated that it would allow up to 15 minutes of debate to transpire before the calling of the question could occur.

James Benkahla confirmed with Dr. Seaton that "up to 15 minutes" would not require the Board to wait 15 minutes to vote on an item but it would not allow the calling of the question before that period of time.

Dr. Seaton thought that calling the question was adding stress and his amendment would relieve said stress.

Chairman Garber asked James Benkahla that if the amendment was defeated would Dr. Seaton be able to make it as a separate motion.

Mr. Benkahla confirmed that Dr. Seaton could do so.

June 8, 2022, at 7:00 p.m.

MATTERS TO BE PRESENTED BY THE BOARD (CONT'D)
Chairman Garber said he would vote for the amendment as a separate motion but not as an amendment.

The Board proceeded to vote on Dr. Seaton's amendment for 15 minutes before calling the question.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Carter And Seaton
Nays: Garber, Wells, Shull, Slaven, And Morelli

Motion failed.

Chairman Garber then reminded the Board that they had yet to vote on Mr. Shull's original motion.

Ms. Carter asked if the Rules of Order would be compromised or broken by the addition or if the change in agenda would break the additional rule in Mr. Shull's motion.

Chairman Garber answered no. The addition would let the Board's will rule over the Rules of Order and that the agenda could be changed two days before the meeting.

Mr. Shull said his addition would give the Board two days to get information on the added item.

Dr. Seaton said that he did not think the motion was necessary because Robert's Rules of Order already had a method to postpone an item.

Dr. Seaton moved, seconded by Ms. Carter, the Board to delay the addition to the Rules of Order.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Carter, Slaven, And Seaton
Nays: Garber, Wells, Shull, And Morelli

Motion failed.

Chairman Garber then conducted the vote on Mr. Shull's original motion to not hold a vote on a new item the night it is first presented to the Board.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Wells, Shull, Slaven, And Morelli
Nays: Carter And Seaton

Motion carried.

Mr. Shull wanted to commemorate D-Day and expressed condolences to Donald Hanger's family.

Mr. Morelli made a motion to rescind the vote last meeting to establish a budget account for body cameras.

Dr. Seaton notified the Chairman that Mr. Morelli's motion was illegal since it required a notification on the order of business and the account had already been made so the vote could not be rescinded citing page 315.

Mr. Benkahla asked for clarification.

June 8, 2022, at 7:00 p.m.

MATTERS TO BE PRESENTED BY THE BOARD (CONT'D)
Dr. Seaton said it was not on the order of business and the vote could not be rescinded on a completed item.

Mr. Shull said that the Board had in the past rescinded things not on the order of business.

Dr. Seaton reiterated that items could not be rescinded if completed.

Mr. Benkahla read the rules Dr. Seaton referenced.

Chairman Garber said the Board wished for him to give his legal opinion.

Mr. Benkahla said Mr. Morelli's motion was legal.

Dr. Seaton then asked if the motion was legal when considering the additional rule added by Mr. Shull's motion.

Mr. Benkahla said he was not sure because the account would have to be closed.

Dr. Seaton insisted it was a different motion.

Mr. Benkahla said he was not sure if it would be impossible to undo an account as "undo" is the wording in Robert's Rules of Order.

Dr. Seaton said because it had a donation in it, the account had to be closed, which would be a separate motion.

Ms. Whetzel said they would just have to refund depositors and delete the budget code.

James Benkahla said it might be cleaner to word another motion to close the account.

Chairman Garber asked if he thought Mr. Morelli's motion was legal.

Mr. Benkahla said he did think Mr. Morelli's motion was legal.

Ms. Carter wanted parliamentary inquiry because of the uncertainty.

Mr. Benkahla said he did think it was a legal motion.

Dr. Seaton asked how long it took for a motion to take effect.

Mr. Benkahla said immediately.

Dr. Seaton then asked Mr. Benkahla's opinion again.

Mr. Benkahla said then that Mr. Morelli's motion should be put on the next agenda.

Dr. Seaton asked what was needed to put the motion on the agenda.

Mr. Benkahla said just the motion without a second was needed.

Ms. Whetzel said that it would be just like an item on the agenda.

Dr. Seaton asked if there was a meeting scheduled for July 13th.

MATTERS TO BE PRESENTED BY THE BOARD (CONT'D)
Ms. Whetzel said that was up to the Board and there have been times when it was canceled. This meeting was the time to vote on that cancelation and would be brought up on the matters of staff.

Dr. Seaton said the Regional Dental Clinic thanked the Board for funding the portable x-ray machine. He also said that the capital account for body cameras would not cost the County anything to keep the account. He asked how many donations were made.

Ms. Whetzel stated she did not know how much was in it but knew there was one donation in the account.

Dr. Seaton stated he made a $200 donation to the account. He wished to make a motion to have the account also support dash cameras and other equipment for the sheriff's office to be put on the next agenda. He went on to read statistics and quotes about the wish for body cameras. Dr. Seaton also moved the Board to allow ACH and credit card donations into the capital account for body cameras be placed on the next agenda.

Dr. Seaton clarified that he moved the motion be placed on the next agenda. He also said his donations could be seen online and that he did not recall receiving donations from Donovan or Mr. Moore so David Zimmerman was mistaken. He also restated his reasoning for voting against the budget because it did not give the requested additional employees to the sheriff's office, and he did vote for the raise. Dr. Seaton also denied saying to David Zimmerman that he wished to appease the protestors.

Mr. Morelli said he spoke with Donald Smith, County Sherriff, and Donald Smith did not ask for the GoFundMe or any donations. He also stated that the County did not ask for donations to the capital account

Ms. Whetzel said that the County did not advertise the account for body cameras.

Dr. Seaton said that Donald Smith in the past had supported the implementation of body cameras.

Mr. Morelli asked Dr. Seaton if anyone on the Board or the Sherriff had asked for a GoFundMe page.

Dr. Seaton said that a lot of people wanted to fund the body cameras and that he was discussing the capital account not the GoFundMe page.

Mr. Wells did not have a motion on the account on his list but said he would make it an emergency motion if necessary because the Board did not create guidelines on how the account for body cameras would be managed. While he had voted for it, he thought that the account did not have guidelines on how it would be managed.

Mr. Wells, seconded by Mr. Shull, moved that the Board give the Chairman the authority to postpone accepting donations into the capital account for body cameras until guidelines are created.

Mr. Shull added that the Treasurer's Office would not know what to do with the funds once received.

Mr. Benkahla agreed with Mr. Shull about the Treasurer's Office.

June 8, 2022, at 7:00 p.m.

MATTERS TO BE PRESENTED BY THE BOARD (CONT'D)
Mr. Shull said that the Board needed to give the Treasurer's Office instructions on the account.

Mr. Wells said the Sherriff should have been part of the discussion for the account and asked if he needed to make a motion.

Dr. Seaton stated that he did not understand why the motion needed to be an emergency motion.

Mr. Wells again asked if he needed to make a motion.

Dr. Seaton said the previous vote should hold not the opinion of some members.

Chairman Garber asked James Benkahla if the Board needed to make the motion an emergency motion.

Mr. Benkahla said the Board could do so if it wished.

Dr. Seaton emphasized the need for a two-thirds vote to suspend the rules of order.

Mr. Benkahla said that the additional rule allowed an item to be deemed an emergency by the Board.

Dr. Seaton said that the majority vote would be dominant if that is all it took to be deemed an emergency, and it would be cleaner to say the need for an item to be deemed an emergency would be two-thirds vote.

Mr. Benkahla agreed that it would be cleaner.

Mr. Wells restated his motion.

Mr. Benkahla thinks it would be cleaner to make a motion to suspend the rules by a two-thirds vote and then to make another motion to give the Chairman authority over the account.

Mr. Shull countered that if the majority of the Board decided the item was an emergency then it would act as any other motion.

Dr. Seaton said that is the reason he was against the additional rule made that night.

Chairman Garber explained the need for a two-thirds vote on making Mr. Well's motion an emergency and then voting on the motion.

Mr. Wells said he would do as Chairman Garber said to make his motion.

Dr. Seaton again expressed his dislike of the added rule to Robert's Rules of Order and said there needed to be a higher bar of an "emergency" than the majority vote.

Chairman Garber stated that no one disagreed about the standard for an "emergency".

Dr. Seaton then wanted to postpone the motion to the next meeting.

Chairman Garber disagreed with Dr. Seaton and re-explained the motion would be made an emergency with two-thirds vote and then be voted upon.

June 8, 2022, at 7:00 p.m.

MATTERS TO BE PRESENTED BY THE BOARD (CONT'D)
Mr. Wells, seconded by Mr. Morelli, moved that the Board to make his previous motion an emergency.

Ms. Carter said that there was no definition for an "emergency".

Mr. Shull said that is why the Board votes on the matter.

Ms. Carter said then there is a need for guidelines on the term "emergency".

Mr. Wells said that is way he made the motion because there are no guideline on the account.

Ms. Carter asked Mr. Wells if he was done speaking and said she was not finished. She said that she was asking for guideline on the term "emergency".

Chairman Garber said the vote to make Mr. Wells' motion an emergency was a two-thirds vote.

Vote was as follows:	Yeas: Garber, Wells, Shull, Slaven, And Morelli
	Nays: Carter And Seaton

Motion carried.

Mr. Wells moved, seconded by Mr. Shull, that the Board give the Chairman authority over donations allowed into the capital account for body cameras until guidelines were put in place.

Ms. Carter asked for discussion.

Chairman Garber said that he would not make any decisions until the work session occurred.

Ms. Carter wanted to know what guidelines would be used for the account before the Chairman was given authority over the account.

Mr. Wells said the guidelines have not been discussed and that the Chairman would be given authority over the account to deny donations until guidelines were made.

Ms. Carter thanked Mr. Wells for the clarification and said she had been researching what other localities did with thise type of funding since it was not unique to the County.

Mr. Wells said he was not against the account and asked why she wished for more discussion.

Ms. Carter said she wished not to be interrupted and continued talking. She said the accounts were very beneficial and did not need to be complicated. She said that the funds could easily be accepted or denied. Ms. Carter said that she had been misquoted previously and did not want to be misquoted again but added that a lot of times the donations could be tax deductible.

Dr. Seaton moved that the Board postpone Mr. Wells' motion indefinitely.

Chairman Garber asked someone to second the motion to get on to voting.

June 8, 2022, at 7:00 p.m.

MATTERS TO BE PRESENTED BY THE BOARD (CONT'D)
Ms. Carter said she would not second it.

Chairman Garber stated that Dr. Seaton's motion did not need a second and conducted the vote on Dr. Seaton's motion to postpone Mr. Wells' motion indefinitely.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Seaton
Nays: Garber, Wells, Carter, Shull, Slaven, Morelli

Motion failed.

Mr. Wells restated his motion for the Board to give the Chairman authority over donations allowed into the capital account for body cameras until guidelines were put in place.

Chairman Garber said he would not make any decisions till the next work session.

Mr. Wells added that to his motion.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Wells, Carter, Shull, Slaven, Morelli
Nays: Seaton

Motion carried.

Mr. Wells thanked Senator Hanger and Delegate Avoli for their hard work on the legislation for the Courthouse. He said since the Governor signed the Bill there was social media jargon of "won" and "lost" that he disliked. Mr. Wells said that those terms did not apply to the referendum.

Mr. Shull added to Mr. Wells comments about the bill and stated that there was no concession made by the Governor. He stated that the Board had not given into anything and that if there were room downtown the County would have done something but there is not.

Mr. Slaven also commented and said there was a moment of relief for the whole Board the day the Governor signed the Bill. He said the distrust between the City of Staunton and the County is high but both deserved better than to fight to have the Courthouse in Staunton. Mr. Slaven said the small group that were still fighting for the city location had ill intent and selfish motives that was driving a wedge between Staunton and Augusta. He advised the group rethink their motives and actions.

Mr. Morelli thanked all the staff who worked on the Courthouse Bill.

Dr. Seaton said there was talk to build over the river and the final results for the courthouse are providential. He said there should not be any sides to the matter and that the Bill gave the people all the information. He respects the City of Staunton for wanting to preserve their historic buildings and is glad the historical society rejected the County's plans for the courthouse because it showed that the County could not build in Staunton.

Dr. Seaton understood the concern of citizens of Staunton to lose the County seat and the economic impact of the move, but he said the preservation of the historic buildings comes at a cost and the Augusta County citizen should not bear that cost. He said he would vote to move the courthouse to Verona to save taxpayer dollars.

Ms. Carter proceeded to speak but was not heard on the microphone.

Ms. Whetzel asked Ms. Carter to tap her microphone.

June 8, 2022, at 7:00 p.m.

MATTERS TO BE PRESENTED BY THE BOARD (CONT'D)
Ms. Cater summarized her point that the Board should take every opportunity to spread the information to the citizens.

Mr. Slaven said the Board could not take a position on the courthouse, but as individuals the Board members could voice their opinion. He said the citizens had a responsibility to spread the information to others. He did not blame the citizens for the 2016 referendum because of the lack on information, but he laid responsibility on the citizens with the new referendum.

Mr. Wells talked about the delegation meeting with the Governor. They said they told the Governor that they just wanted to give the citizens a say as to where the courthouse would be. The Governor said he was a big proponent of listening to the citizens.

Ms. Carter asked if she could finish. She said she was disappointed with the city for falsely claiming the County did not visit with the City of Staunton.

Chairman Garber said the 2016 referendum was designed to fail because it was worded as if there was a choice to build or not build at all.

Ms. Carter moved to add to the agenda the information on how to donate to the fund.

Mr. Shull noted that the Board did inform the public previously about the courthouse and said it would be a good idea to inform citizens once the details are known on the project. Chairman Garber added that the Board would talk about the spreading of information at the work session. He wanted to meet with the media to clarify that there was no deal made for the courthouse. He said that the referendum would therefore save the citizens millions of dollars so that there was not a need to have a temporary courthouse.

Dr. Seaton asked how much the County would save to build in Verona over Staunton.

Ms. Whetzel said the exact numbers would be known on September 8th.

Mr. Shull asked what date is would have to be made for the referendum.

Chairman Garber said that there are different dates for different deadlines and that was one issue with getting the Governor to sign the Bill because if it was pushed off the deadlines would be more likely to not be met.

Ms. Whetzel said the staff were on target with the deadlines.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

MATTERS TO BE PRESENTED BY STAFF
1) Ms. Whetzel reminded the Board of the VACo Region 9 meeting at Valley Pike on Thursday at 5:00 pm for social and 6:00 pm dinner. She stated the top legislative requests were for Behavioral Health and I-81 and asked if there were any other requests that the Board wished to add.
2) She mentioned the Churchville Ruritan Club requested the funding for a new playground at Verona Elementar.

June 8, 2022, at 7:00 p.m.

MATTERS TO BE PRESENTED BY STAFF (CONT'D)
3) Ms. Whetzel explained that the July 13th meeting could be canceled if the Board wanted to cancel it this early in the year.

Chairman Garber recommended to wait to cancel the meeting.

Mr. Shull also wished to talk about the Agriculture Forestall District Middlebrook Area.

John Wilkinson, Director of Community Development, summarized the DuPont project, Jennings Branch. They had to alter some wording with the easement. There was one property owner that did not want to give a permanent easement but instead wished the County purchase the property, which would cost $5,166.71. The purchase would be decided by the Board and would be needed to move the project along.

Chairman Garber asked John Wilkinson to email the Board the information.

Mr. Wilkinson said the possible purchase is something that he wanted the Board to be notified on.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

CLOSED SESSION
On motion of Mr. Wells, seconded by Mr. Shull, that the Board convene into closed session pursuant to:

(1) the personnel exemption under Virginia Code § 2.2-3711(A)(1) [discussion, consideration or interviews of (a) prospective candidates for employment, or (b) assignment, appointment, promotion, performance, demotion, salaries, disciplining or resignation of specific employees]:

a) Boards & Commissions: Youth Commission, Ag & Forestal Dist.,Ag Ind. Brd, Recycling, Broadband Committee, Library Board, Augusta County Service Authority, Valley Community Services Board, Shenandoah Valley Partnership, Planning Commission, Parks & Recreation, Community Policy Management Team

(2) the real property exemption under Virginia Code § 2.2-3711(A)(3) [discussion of the acquisition for a public purpose, or disposition, of real property]:

a) Beverley Manor Elementary School

On motion of Mr. Shull, seconded by Mr. Slaven, that the Board came out of Closed Session.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Wells, Carter, Shull, Slaven, Morelli And Seaton
Nays: None

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

June 8, 2022, at 7:00 p.m.

CLOSED SESSION (CONT'D)
The Chairman advised that each member is required to certify that to the best of their knowledge during the closed session only the following was discussed:

1. Public business matters lawfully exempted from statutory open meeting requirements, and

2. Only such public business matters identified in the motion to convene the executive session.

The Chairman asked if there is any Board member who cannot so certify.

Hearing none, the Chairman called upon the County Administrator/ Clerk of the Board to call the roll noting members of the Board who approve the certification shall answer AYE and those who cannot shall answer NAY.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Wells, Carter, Shull, Slaven, Morelli And Seaton
Nays: None

Motion carried.

The Chairman authorized the County Administrator/Clerk of the Board to record this certification in the minutes.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

BOARDS AND COMMISIONS
Mr. Wells moved, seconded by Mr. Shull, that the Board appoint Pam Carter to Shenandoah Valley Partnership.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Wells, Carter, Shull, Slaven, Morelli And Seaton
Nays: None

Motion carried.

Ms. Carter moved, seconded by Dr. Seaton, that the Board appoint Frank Pyanoe to Valley Community Services Board.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Wells, Carter, Shull, Slaven, Morelli And Seaton
Nays: None

Motion carried.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

June 8, 2022, at 7:00 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT
There being no other business to come before the Board, Dr. Seaton moved, seconded by Mr. Wells, that the Board adjourn subject to call of the Chairman.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Wells, Carter, Shull, Slaven, Morelli And Seaton
Nays: None

Motion carried.

Chairman

County Administrator

h:6-8min.20228